



Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical

Sciences

Development And Validation of HPLC-DAD Method Of Determination Morpholin-4-ium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3yl)thio)acetate In A Bulk Drug.

Borys Varynskyi^{1*}, Andriy Kaplaushenko¹, and Fadi Al Zidan².

¹Department of Physical and Colloidal Chemistry, Pharmaceutical Faculty, Zaporozhye State Medical University, Mayakowsky ave, 26, Zaporozhye, 69035, Ukraine ²Department of Medicinal Preparations Technology, Pharmaceutical Faculty, Zaporozhye State Medical University

²Department of Medicinal Preparations Technology, Pharmaceutical Faculty, Zaporozhye State Medical University, Mayakowsky ave, 26, Zaporozhye, 69035, Ukraine

ABSTRACT

A rapid, simple and sensitive HPLC with diode array detector method of determination of the morpholin-4-ium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate allows separating active pharmaceutical ingredient from potential technological impurities was developed. Method was validated according to the pharmacopoeia's requirements. The results of the validation suggest that this method is specific, meets the requirements of linearity, precision and accuracy. The results of determination of active pharmaceutical ingredient in real bulk drug samples show that the method can be offered for its quality control in bulk drug.

Keywords: 1,2,4-triazoles, liquid chromatography, diode array detector, validation, bulk drug

*Corresponding author



INTRODUCTION

The derivatives of 1,2,4-triazole are potential drug substances with various biological activity. Our scientists created several new drugs based on this structural unit. For example, new drug such as neuroprotective drug "Thiometrizol" that is under implementation in industrial production in Ukraine [1].

Quality control of the morpholin-4-ium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridine-4-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-yl)thio)acetate which is active pharmaceutical ingredient of "Thiometrizol"' (*API*) at the research and production phase is an important task. It is necessary to create proper methods of qualitative and quantitative determination of its *API*.

A high performance liquid chromatography was used for determination of the *API* and one impurity in the solutions. Due to it was determined only one impurity it's not selective method. It has a low sensitivity and time-consuming (30 min). It was not proposed for bulk drug and was not validated. An UV spectrophotometry was used for determination of the *API* in the 1% and 2.5% water solution at 275 nm. The method is characterized by low sensitivity and specificity [2].

There has been proposed a method of determination of the morpholin-4-ium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridine-4-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-yl)thio)acetate in bulk drug by the potentiometric titration using perchlorate acid. The method is insensitive and non-selective. The method was not validated [3].

The most universal and selective method which used in pharmacopoeia analysis is HPLC.

The aim of this research is the development and validation of new sensitive and selective chromatography method of determination of the morpholin-4-ium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate, allowing separate *API* from potential technological impurities.

EXPERIMENTAL

The HPLC device: Agilent 1260 Infinity (degasser, binary pump, autosampler, column thermostat, diode-array detector); OpenLAB CDS Software. Column Zorbax SB-C18; 30 mm x 4.6 mm; 1.8 μm; single quadrupole mass spectrometry detector Agilent 6120 with electrospray ionization.

Reagents: acetonitrile qualification «HPLC» LAB-SCAN (Gliwice, Poland), formic acid (100%) Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), a highly purified water (18 M Ω at 25° C), which is made of using Direct Q 3UV Millipore (Molsheim, France).

Standard samples: morpholin-4-ium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate (*API*) (1), pyridine-4-carbohydrazide (2), 2-isonicotinoyl-*N*-(2-methoxyphenyl)hydrazine-1-carbothioamide (3), 4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-2,4-dihydro-3*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione (4).

Peak Purity determination mass spectrometry detector conditions

Single quadrupole mass spectrometry detector, fragmentor voltage 134 V, scan 130-350 m/z.

Method of the API determination using HPLC-DAD

Tests carried out by high performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detection.

Conditions of chromatography:

- \bullet column 4.6 ×30 mm, reverse phase C18, 1.8 $\mu\text{m};$
- column temperature 40°C;
- mobile phase A H₂O 0,1% HCOOH;
- mobile phase B CH₃CN 0,1% HCOOH;
- low rate 400 mL/min;

September-October	2018	RJPBCS	9(5)	Page No. 2201



• isocratic mode - A mobile phase - mobile phase B (84:16);

 \bullet injection volume - 2 $\mu\text{L};$

• detector - diode-array 272 nm (*API* - compound (1)), 266 nm (Compound (2)), 254 nm (Compound (3)), 258 nm (Compound (4));

• chromatography time - 6 minutes.

The chromatography system suitability test. The efficiency of the column *N* by peak *API* should be \ge 4500 theoretical plates, resolution must be R \ge 2,96 (between peaks API and compound (3)) and R \ge 2,65 (between peaks compound (4) and *API*).

Preparation of the mobile phase A. 1.00 ml of formic acid was added to volumetric flask 1000.0 ml, was dissolved in 100 ml of high-purity water, was brought the volume up to the mark with the same solvent and was mixed.

Preparation of the mobile phase B. 1.00 ml of formic acid was added to volumetric flask 1000.0 ml, was dissolved in 100 ml of acetonitrile, was brought the volume up to the mark with the same solvent and was mixed.

Preparation of standard sample solution (reference solution). About 50 mg (accurate weight) standard sample of the *API* was added to volumetric flask 100.0 mL, was dissolved in 50 mL high purity water, was brought the volume up to the mark with the same solvent and was mixed thoroughly.

Preparation of the solution for the chromatographic system suitability verification. 5 mg of standard samples impurities 2, 3, 4 was added to volumetric flask 100.0 mL, was dissolved in 50 ml dimethyl sulfoxide, was brought the volume up to the mark with water and was mixed thoroughly (the solution C).

50 mg standard *API* sample was added to volumetric flask 100.0 mL, was dissolved in 50 mL of a mixture of high-purity water - acetonitrile (84:16) was added 1.00 ml the solution C, was brought the volume up to the mark with the same solvent and was mixed carefully (solution D).

Preparation of the test solution. About 50 mg (accurate weight) sample bulk drug *API* was added to volumetric flask 100.0 mL, was dissolved in 50 mL of a high-purity water, was brought the volume up to the mark with the same solvent and was mixed thoroughly.

The standard sample was injected n times, calculated RSD for *API* peak area; chromatography was stopped when the received value does not exceed RSD_{max} , according to the Ph. Eur. 2.2.46 and Ph. Ukr. 2.2.29. (The Supplement 1) for the upper limit of *API* content minus 100 per cent B = 2% [4,6].

The standard sample solution and test solution was injected alternately of set number of times (n) and used in following calculations averages.

The retention time of *API* peak should be about 4.6 minutes.

Content of morpholin-4-ium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)acetate in the bulk drug X%, determined by the formula:

$$X = \frac{S_x \times m_{st} \times P \times 100}{S_{st} \times m_x \times (100 - w)},$$

- S_x average of the API peak area for chromatograms of test solution;
- Sst average of the API peak area for chromatograms of standard solutions;
- m_{st} the mass of the standard sample, g;
- m_x sample weight of the bulk drug, g;
- P content of the API in the standard sample, %;
- W water content in the bulk drug, %.



Validation of the method. Validation of the method was performed according to the requirements of Ph. Eur. and Ph. Ukr. in the version of standard method given by standardized procedure [4-7]. *API* content limits in the bulk drug are 98-102%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the synthesis scheme [8] the main specific impurities in the bulk drug "Thiometrizol" may be compounds (2), (3), (4).

The optimal chromatographic conditions of *API* determination have to be based on the conditions of separation of its compound from impurities.

Optimization of the concentration of acetonitrile in the mobile phase

We have justified before and offered stationary and mobile phases, studied the chromatographic behavior of some of derivatives of 1,2,4-triazole and intermediates in their synthesis [9-11].

Based on the obtained data we plot the dependence of capacity on the concentration of acetonitrile in the mobile phase for potential impurities and *API* (Fig. 1).

The study of UV spectra *API* and impurities allows selecting analytical wavelength that can be used to determine the appropriate compounds. Optimal wavelength for *API* is 272 nm at maximal light absorption (Fig. 2).

We can see that the maximum difference between the lines is about 16-18% at the (Fig. 1). The indicator, which shows the quality of separation, is resolution (R_s). It was studied the separation between the peaks *API* (1) and peaks carbothioamide (3) and thione (4). Experimental determination and calculation of the resolution (R_s) conducted according to the Ph. Eur. and Ph. Ukr. using OpenLAB CDS Software [4, 5].

According to the Ph. Ukr. requirements separation factor must be more than 1.0. All coefficients are over 1.0, but sum of the resolution are maximum at 16%. So, the optimal content of acetonitrile is 16% (Fig.3).

On the chromatogram of the model solution of bulk drug with the impurities addition (*API* concentration of 0.5 g/L, 5 μ L injection volume) were observed impurities hydrazide (0.694 min), carbothioamide (3.859 min), thione (5.566 min) retention time of the *API* 4.619 min.

Chromatography of sample solution of bulk drug (concentration of 0.5 g/L, 2 uL injection volume) at 272 nm present at (Fig. 5). Impurities of carbothioamide, thione in this sample were not detected.

Separation conditions of the impurities from API were discussed also in previous publication [12].

The peak of active pharmaceutical ingredient was homogeneous, as confirmed using mass spectrometric detector (Fig. 6).





Figure 1: Dependence of the capacity factor (*k*) from the concentration of acetonitrile in the mobile phase on diode-array detector at 210 nm





September-October

2018





Figure 3: Resolution dependence from acetonitrile content for compounds 3 and 1, 1 and 4.



Figure 4: Model solution chromatogram of the bulk drug "Thiometrizol"



Figure 5: Chromatogram of the sample substance in optimal conditions at 272 nm



Figure 6: Confirmation of the peak purity on mass spectromery detector



Method validation for API determination in the bulk drug

Calculation of uncertainty the solution standard sample (reference solution) preparation:

- a standard sample weighing of the *API* on the scales:

- bring the solution volume in volumetric flask 100.0 mL: 0.12%.

Calculation of uncertainty of the test solution preparation:

- a sample weighing of a bulk drug on the scales:

$$(0.2 \text{ mg}/50 \text{ mg}) \times 100 = 0.4\%;$$

- bring the solution volume in volumetric flask 100.0 mL: 0.12%.

Calculation of uncertainty of the sample preparation:

$$\Delta_{\rm SP} = \sqrt{0.4^2 + 0.12^2 + 0.4^2 + 0.12^2} = 0.58\%$$

According to Ph. Ukr. 2.2.29. (The Supplement 1) uncertainty of sample preparation should be insignificant compared with a maximum uncertainty analysis. That is $\Delta_{SP} \leq 0.32 \cdot 2 = 0.64\%$. Thus, the predicted value Δ_{SP} meets the recommendations of the Ph. Ukr. (0.58% <0.64%) [6].

The linearity. Metrological characteristics of a linear dependence for a range of method application 80-120% of the nominal content *API* present in the (Tab. 1).

Parameter	Value	Criteria of acceptability $(B = 2\%, g = 9)$	Conclusion
b	1,0313	-	-
Sb	0,0164	-	-
а	- 3,2266	≤ 3.2	corresponds
Sa	1,627	-	-
RSD ₀	0,7475	≤ 1.06	corresponds
Rc	0,9991	≥ 0.99702	corresponds

The specificity. The peak of API was fully separated from peaks of compounds (2), (3), (4).

Precision and accuracy. The precision and accuracy of the method given in the (Tab. 2).



$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Model \\ solution \\ \hline Model \\ solution \\ \hline Weight \\ bulk drug API, g \\ (m_{st}=0,05089 g) \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline n \% of the \\ concentration of \\ the reference \\ solution , X_i \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c c c } \hline The average \\ area of peak, S_i \\ (S_{st}=2625) \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c c c } \hline Found in \% to \\ peak area of \\ reference \\ solution, \\ Y_i \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c c } \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c c } \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ c } \end{array} \end{array}$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Model solution	Weight bulk drug <i>API</i> , g (<i>m</i> _{st} = 0,05089 g)	in % of the concentration of the reference solution , <i>X</i> _i	The average area of peak, S _i (S _{st} = 2625)	Found in % to peak area of reference solution, Y _i	$Z_i = \frac{Y_i}{X_i} \cdot 100\%$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	0,03928	77,19	2004	77,14	99,94
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	0,04008	78,76	2025	76,34	96,93
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	0,0434	85,28	2232	85,03	99,70
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4	0,0469	92,16	2416	92,04	99,87
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5	0,05085	99,92	2629	100,2	100,23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6	0,0522	102,6	2695	102,7	100,09
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7	0,05505	108,17	2841	108,2	100,05
90,0635124,783279124,9100,11Mean value, \overline{Z} , %99,71The relative standard deviation, RSD_Z , %1,065The relative confidence interval, $\Delta_Z = RSD_Z \cdot t(95\%; n-1) = RSD_Z \cdot 1,8595$ 1,981The criteria of acceptability for convergence results: $\Delta_{As} \leq 2,00$ correspondsSystematic error,The criteria of insignificance of systematic error:1) $\delta \leq \frac{\Delta_Z}{J3} = 1,981/3 = 0,66$ correspondsCorrespondsCorrespondsThe overall conclusion of the method	8	0,05763	113,24	2986	113,8	100,45
Mean value, \overline{Z} , %99,71The relative standard deviation, RSD_Z , %1,065The relative confidence interval, $\Delta_Z = RSD_Z \cdot t(95\%; n-1) = RSD_Z \cdot 1,8595$ 1,981The criteria of acceptability for convergence results: $\Delta_{As} \le 2,00$ correspondsD,2914Systematic error,1) $\delta \le \Delta_Z$ / 3 = 1,981 / 3 = 0,66correspondsCorrespondsCorrespondsThe overall conclusion of the methodCorrect	9	0,0635	124,78	3279	124,9	100,11
The relative standard deviation, RSD_Z , %1,065The relative confidence interval, $\Delta_Z = RSD_Z \cdot t(95\%; n-1) = RSD_Z \cdot 1,8595$ 1,981The criteria of acceptability for convergence results: $\Delta_{As} \le 2,00$ correspondsThe criteria of acceptability for convergence results: $\Delta_{As} \le 2,00$ 0,2914Systematic error,The criteria of insignificance of systematic error:1) $\delta \le \Delta_Z / 3 = 1,981 / 3 = 0,66$ correspondsCorrespondsCorrespondsThe overall conclusion of the method		99,71				
The relative confidence interval, $\Delta_z = RSD_z \cdot t(95\%; n-1) = RSD_z \cdot 1,8595$ 1,981The criteria of acceptability for convergence results: $\Delta_{As} \le 2,00$ $\delta = 100 - \overline{Z} $ 0,2914Systematic error,0,2914The criteria of insignificance of systematic error:1) $\delta \le \frac{\Delta_Z}{2} / 3 = 1,981 / 3 = 0,66$ correspondsCorrespondsThe overall conclusion of the method	The relative standard deviation, <i>RSD_z</i> , %					1,065
The criteria of acceptability for convergence results: $\Delta_{As} \le 2,00$ corresponds $\delta = 100 - \overline{Z} $ 0,2914Systematic error,0,2914The criteria of insignificance of systematic error:1) $\delta \le \frac{\Delta_Z}{2}/3 = 1,981/3 = 0,66$ correspondsCorrespondsThe overall conclusion of the methodcorrect	The relative confidence interval, $\Delta_z = RSD_z \cdot t(95\%; n-1) = RSD_z \cdot 1,8595$					1,981
$\begin{split} \delta &= \left 100 - \overline{Z} \right & 0,2914 \\ \hline & \text{Systematic error,} & 0,2914 \\ \hline & \text{The criteria of insignificance of systematic error:} & \\ & 1) \delta &\leq \frac{\Delta_Z}{2} / 3 = 1,981 / 3 = 0,66 & \text{corresponds} \\ \hline & 2) \delta &\leq 0,32 \cdot 2 = 0,64 & \text{corresponds} \\ \hline & \text{The overall conclusion of the method} & \text{correct} \end{split}$	The criteria of acceptability for convergence results: $\Delta_{A_s} \leq 2,00$					corresponds
The criteria of insignificance of systematic error:Corresponds1) $\delta \leq \frac{\Delta_Z}{2}$ $3 = 1,981/3 = 0,66$ Corresponds2) $\delta \leq 0,32 \cdot 2 = 0,64$ CorrespondsThe overall conclusion of the method	$\delta = \left 100 - \overline{Z} \right $ Systematic error,					0,2914
1) $\delta \leq \frac{\Delta_Z}{2} / 3 = 1,981 / 3 = 0,66$ 2) $\delta \leq 0,32 \cdot 2 = 0,64$ corresponds The overall conclusion of the method	The criteria of insignificance of systematic error:					
The overall conclusion of the method	1) $\delta \leq \frac{\Delta_Z}{2} / 3 = 1,981 / 3 = 0,66$					corresponds
	$2 j 0 \ge 0, 52 \cdot 2 - 0, 04$ The overall conclusion of the method					correct

Table 2: The results of the method precision and accuracy

Table 3: Results of chromatography system suitability test for RSD

Injection	S _{st}	Mean value S _{st}	RSD%	RSD% _{max}
1	2761	-	-	-
2	2772	2767	0,2523	0,32
3	2777	2770	0,2714	0,84
4	2755	2766	0,3460	1,20
5	2770	2767	0,3052	1,48

Applying the method to quantify API in bulk drug.

The results are shown in (Tab. 4). The resulting value does not exceed RSD requirements for Ph. Eur. 2.2.46 and Ph. Ukr. 2.2.29 to RSD^{max} at all values of n, starting with n = 2. So enough 2 times alternately injection of the reference solution and test solution for each sample of the bulk drug [6]. Humidity of the bulk drug determined by weight loss on drying, and is 0.1%.



Sample	Weight, g	Peak area		Found API in %	Metrological
					characteristics,
					n-1=5, P=0,95
1	0,05076	2790	2785	100,5	$\overline{X} = 100.0^*$
		2780			S=0,934**
2	0,04956	2720	2724	100,7	RSD%= 0,934***
		2728			$\Delta \overline{X}$ =0,980****
3	0,0502	2763	2756	100,6	ɛ=0,980%*****
		2749	2756		
4	0,0506	2782	2777	100,6	
		2772			
5	0,05056	2740	- 2738	99,25	
		2736			
6	0,05079	5079 2726 2720	2720	09.47	
		2732	32	90,47	
Standard	0,05066		2767		
sample					

Table 4: The results of the quantitative determination API in substance

$$*\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

$$**S = \sqrt{\frac{(X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n-1}}$$

$$**RSD\% = \frac{S}{\overline{X}} \cdot 100$$

**** $\Delta \overline{X} = t \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} t$ -Student's coefficient ***** $\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta \overline{X}}{\overline{X}} \cdot 100$

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the validation suggest that this method is specific, meets the requirements of linearity, precision and accuracy. The results of determination of *API* in real bulk drug samples indicate that the method can be offered for quality control of *API* in drug bulk.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors acknowledge the rector of Zaporozhye State Medical University, professor Yu. M. Kolesnyk for the possibility to make researches in laboratory of liquid chromatography and mass-spectrometry.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kaplaushenko AG. Research to create a new original national drug on the basis of 1,2,4-triazoles. Scientific Journal of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine 2013; 2: 115-121.
- [2] Kaplaushenko AG, Panasenko OI, Knysh YeG, Vasjuk SO, Tarhanova OO. Development of methods for qualitative and quantitative determination of the morpholine 2-(5-(4-pyridyl)-4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-ylthio)acetate. Zaporozhye Medical Journal 2009; 1: 79-81.
- [3] Kaplaushenko AG, Panasenko OI, Knysh YeG, Vasjuk SO, Tarhanova OO. Development of a method of quantitative analysis of the basic substance and possible impurities in substance morpholine 2-(5-(4-pyridyl)-4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-yltio)acetate. Pharmaceutical journal 2010; 2: 49-54.
- [4] European Pharmacopoeia 8th edn., Council of Europe: Strasbourg, France, 2013.
- [5] Ukrainiane Pharmacopoeia 1st edn., The Pharmacopoieal Center of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine, 2001.
- [6] Ukrainiane Pharmacopoeia 1st edn. The Supplement 1., The Pharmacopoieal Center of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine, 2004.
- [7] Gryzodub AI, Leontiev DA, Denisenko NV, Podpruzhnikov YuV. Standardized procedure of validation of assay procedures by the method of standard, Pharmacom 2004; 3:1-15.



- [8] Kaplaushenko AG. Synthesis, structure and biological activity of 4-mono- and 4,5-derivatives 1,2,4triazoles-3-thione. Doctor of PharmSci thesis, Zaporozhye State Medical University, Zaporozhye, 2012.
- [9] Varynskyi BO. Study of the retention characteristics a number hydrazides of carboxylic acids and hydrazinecarbothioamides, starting materials in the synthesis of substances for manufacturing drugs by HPLC-UV-ESI-MS, Problems of military health care. Proceedings of Ukrainian Military Medical Academy 2015; 43: 320-329.
- [10] Varynskyi BO, Knysh YeG, Parchenko VV, Panasenko OI, Kaplaushenko AG. The study of retention regularities for the potential drug substances of 1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthioacetic acids and their salts series by the method of HPLC/DAD-MS. Journal of Organic and Pharmaceutical Chemistry 2015; 52: 68-75.
- [11] Varynskyi BO. The study by HPLC-DAD-MS retention regularities of the 1,2,4-triazoles-3-thione series

 intermediate materials in the synthesis of active pharmaceutical ingredients for medicine manufacture. Pharmacom 2016; 1:32-40.
- [12] Varynskyi BO, Kaplaushenko AG. The development and validation of HPLC-DMD method for intermediate products impurities determination of morpholinium 2-((4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridine-4-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-yl)thio)acetate in bulk drug. Zaporozhye Medical Journal 2017; 3: 373-380.