

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Development and implementation in food safety management system by ISO 22000 in beet sugar production.

Nina Konik^{1*}, Alexey Molchanov¹, Galina Starodubtseva², Lubov Maslova² and Mikhail Eryushev³.

ABSTRACT

The essence of the HACCP system is the identification and control of "critical points" in production. This can be done in different ways; it all depends on the specifics of an enterprise. Also, the very sequence, certain "steps" to implement this system are also determined individually for each enterprise. The article considers modern approaches to ensuring food safety, with reference to the production of granulated sugar. Using the risk analysis method of the diagram, potentially dangerous factors for the production of granulated sugar were identified and analyzed, and two critical control points (CCPs) were identified. The first CCP is defined at the stage of the raw materials acceptance process: chemical hazard (content of toxic elements, pesticides) as a result of non-verification (absence) of accompanying documents (certificates of conformity, test reports of the 3rd party). The second CCP arises at the stage of the drying department, the packaging of granulated sugar, the microbiological dangerous factor (infecting the group of mesophilic microorganisms KMAFAIM, CGBP, colibacillus, a group of pathogenic microorganisms, salmonella) is critical. For each CCP, a monitoring system, preventive actions, a list of documentation for recording data from each CCP, a HACCP plan was developed.

Keywords: HACCP, risk analysis, monitoring system, corrective actions, sugar.

*Corresponding author

¹ Saratov State Agrarian University named after N.I. Vavilov, Teatralnaya sq. 1, Saratov, 410012, Russia;

² Stavropol State Agrarian University, Zootekhnicheskiy lane 12, Stavropol 355017, Russia

³ "Organization of production and management in agribusiness", Saratov State Agrarian University named after N.I. Vavilov, Teatralnaya Sq. 1, Saratov, 410012, Russia.



INTRODUCTION

The introduction of a food safety management system is a fundamentally new step in the quality management system. All basic principles of the methodology are used in production. First of all, this is the definition of control and critical points in the technological chain, conducting risk analysis, corrective actions, including monitoring, accounting and preparation of all necessary documentation [6, 7]. To use the security system, an enterprise needs to investigate its product and its production methods, and also apply this system and its recommendations to raw material suppliers, the selection of additional materials, and to retail and wholesale trade networks [4].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research was carried out at the Balashov sugar factory in the Saratov region. The object of the study is the development of a security management system at the enterprise.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To develop the system, a working group was formed from employees with different specializations, possessing relevant knowledge about the specific products, work experience, and also the way to develop an effective plan for implementing the system at the enterprise under study.

Table 1: Selection of critical control points (CCP)

Stage (stage) of the process	Description, source. Cause of the hazard	Risk assessment (probability, severity, consequences)	Is the rea CCP (yes or no)	If so, why?	Preventive actions	
Accepta nce of raw material s	Chemical (content of toxic elements, pesticides). Not checking (absence) of accompanyi ng documents (certificates of conformity, test reports of the 3rd party).	1) The probability of occurrence is minimal (D); 2) The class of danger off ailure - class 1 - catastrophic. Danger of spoilage of raw materials, in ability touse for their intended purpose. 3) Consequences at occurrence - level 5 - catastrophic	Yes CCP 1.	This is CCP, becauseifthereisanexc essofthelimitlevelofin dicatorsandnoverifica tionorlackof a certificate, nosubsequentprocess procedureswillreduce thepollutiontoanacce ptablelevel.	Mandatory control of the presence and evidence of a certificate of conformity or a test report of the 3rd party. Sampling and contamination by chemically dangerous elements.	
Drying compart ment. Packing of sugar.	Microbiologi cal hazard factor. Infection with a group of mesophilic microorgani sms KMAFAiM, CGB, colibacillus, a group of pathogenic microorgani sms, salmonella.	1) The probability of occurrence – the minimum (D) 2) Risk class off ailure - 3 average Danger of product damage; possible partialuseas intended; corrective actions are not possible. 3) Consequences of occurrence Level 4. Critical	Yes CCP 2.	This is CCP, because at violation of the temperature and humidity regime, sanitary and hygienic requirements. Production facilities and personal hygiene of personnel, exceeding the allowable level of contamination, subsequent process procedures will not reduce the m / b contamination acceptable level. It is	Systematically, at least 1 time per shift, cleaning, washing, disinfection of production facilities, personal hygiene control.	



Possibility of		necessary to reject	
manifestatio		the products.	
n and			
developmen			
t in case of			
non –			
observance			
of the			
temperatur			
e and			
humidity			
regime,			
sanitary and			
hygienic			
requirement			
s in the			
production			
premises,			
violation of			
the personal			
hygiene			
requirement			
s of the			
personnel.			

Based on the technological scheme of production, ranking of indicators for conducting risk analysis was conducted. Critical control points (CCPs) to be managed through the HACCP plan.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the data obtained, the last stage is the HACCP plan, which provides detailed information on the detection of a hazardous factor at each stage of the process, indicating its critical limits, the monitoring procedure, the elimination actions, and the document to which the testimony is recorded (Table 2).

Table 2: HACCP

The danger of P.P. subject to management in the CCP	Control measures	Critical limits	Monitoring procedure	Correction, corrective actions	Distrib ution of respon sibilitie s and author ity	Record keeping
CCP 1. Chemical hazards (excess of toxic elements in raw materials (beetroot).) Possibility of manifestation of hazardous factors. Withoutverificationofaccom panyingdocumentsforrawma terialsortheirabsence	Obligatory control of accompanying documents, availability of certificates of conformity or test reports of the 3rd party. If necessary, sampling, follow-up of raw materials for compliance with necessary documentation	GOST 30178-96 GOST 26930-86 GOST 26-927-86 GOST 30349-96 MUK 411125- 4.11395-03 MUK 2.6.11194- 03 MU - 5048-89	Control of the mandatory inspection of accompanyin g documentatio n. If it is necessary to sample and test in coming raw materials	If there is a discrepancy between the raw materials, the rejection and return to the supplier. Conducting the next briefing of personnel employed at the acceptance of raw materials	Head of laborat ory. Head. Ware house, quality and safety manag er.	CKT Parame ter Control Log



The introduction of a quality management system based on the principles of HACCP and obtaining a certificate at the enterprise allowed the organization to gain certain leading positions in the sales markets, ensure the production of safe products based on systemic control at all stages of production and increase the demand for food products by building consumer confidence in the quality and safety of the produced products.

REFERENCES

- [1] Technical regulations of the Customs Union of TR CU 021/2011 "On food safety" Decision of the Commission of the Customs Union of December 9, 2011 No. 880.
- [2] GOST R ISO 22000-2007 Food safety management systems. Requirements for organizations involved in the chain of food production. Enter. 2007-17-04. Moscow: Standardinform, 2007.
- [3] GOST 33222-2015 The white sugar. Technical conditions. Moscow: Standartinform, 2015.
- [4] Bernovskiy Yu.N. Standards and product quality: Educational and practical manual. Bernowsky. Moscow: Forum: SRC INFRA-M, 2014. 256 sec .: 60x90 1/16. (Higher education). (binding) ISBN 978-5-91134-838-0
- [5] Dubrovin I.A. Business planning at the enterprise. 2 nd ed. M.: Dashkov and K, 2017. 432 p.
- [6] Skorykh L.N., Afanasev M.A., Kisyuk V.A., Konik N.V. Using biophysical methods in sheep breading. Engineering for Rural Development Latvia University of Agriculture, Latvia Academy of Agricultural and Forest Sciences, Section of Engineering. 2017. pp. 951-955.
- [7] Degtyarev D.Y., Emelyanov S.A., Skorykh L.N. Prediction of the epizootic situation in the natural foci of tularemia area for biological risk assessment of food production. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2016. T. 7(5). P. 2514-2517.