ISSN: 0975-8585

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Study Of Role Of Computed Tomography In Head Injury Management.

Anand Bansilal Karnawat*.

Department of Radiodiagnosis, Sub District Hospital Nilanga District, Latur, Maharashtra, India.

ABSTRACT

This retrospective study investigates the role of Computed Tomography (CT) in the management of head injuries, focusing on demographic characteristics, clinical presentations, CT findings, interventions, and outcomes. A sample of 40 patients, spanning a one-year duration, underwent a comprehensive analysis of health records. Demographic patterns, injury severity, CT findings, interventions, and outcomes were systematically documented and analyzed. The study revealed a predominant male population (70%), with falls (37.5%) and motor vehicle accidents (45%) as primary mechanisms of injury. CT findings indicated a moderate injury burden, with prevalent intracranial hemorrhages (80%) and diverse traumatic lesions. CT-guided interventions, including surgical evacuation (37.5%) and medical management (42.5%), contributed to predominantly favorable outcomes (55%). CT emerged as a critical diagnostic and therapeutic tool in the management of head injuries, facilitating timely interventions and improving prognostic precision. The study underscores the importance of a comprehensive approach in understanding the intricate dynamics of head injury management.

Keywords: Head injury, Computed Tomography, Retrospective study.

https://doi.org/10.33887/rjpbcs/2024.15.1.19

Corresponding author

ISSN: 0975-8585

INTRODUCTION

Head injury is a critical medical condition with profound implications for patient outcomes [1], necessitating accurate and timely diagnostic tools for effective management [2]. Computed Tomography (CT) has emerged as an important technology in the assessment and treatment of head injuries, revolutionizing clinical practice [3]. This imaging modality provides unparalleled insights into the structural and functional aspects of the brain, allowing clinicians to swiftly identify traumatic lesions, hemorrhages, and other potentially life-threatening complications [4]. Its non-invasive nature and rapid acquisition of high-resolution images make CT an indispensable tool in emergency settings, facilitating prompt decision-making and targeted interventions [5-7]. Our study aims to comprehensively explore the multifaceted role of CT in head injury management, highlighting its role in guiding therapeutic strategies, prognostication, and improving overall patient outcomes. A understanding of CT's contributions in this context is important for healthcare practitioners to optimize the care of individuals affected by head injuries.

METHODOLOGY

In this retrospective study, our study methodology aimed to investigate the role of CT in the management of head injuries over duration of one year. The study focused on a sample size of 40 patients, carefully selected from medical records within the specified timeframe.

Patient inclusion criteria included individuals who had sustained head injuries and subsequently underwent CT scans as part of their diagnostic workup. Relevant data, including demographic information, clinical history, and CT findings, were systematically extracted from electronic health records. The retrospective nature of the study allowed for the comprehensive analysis of existing data, eliminating the need for direct patient involvement and ensuring a cost-effective and time-efficient investigation.

Statistical analysis was conducted to evaluate the prevalence of specific CT findings, the correlation between imaging results and clinical outcomes, and the impact of CT-guided interventions on patient management. Descriptive statistics and inferential analyses were employed to derive meaningful insights into the role of CT in optimizing the therapeutic approach for individuals with head injuries. The utilization of a one-year timeframe and a sample size of 40 patients provided a robust foundation for drawing clinically relevant conclusions from the retrospective assessment of CT's contribution to head injury management.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Study Population

Parameter	Total Sample (n=40)
Age (years)	35.2 ± 12.5
Gender	Male: 28 (70%)
	Female: 12 (30%)
Mechanism of Injury	
- Falls	15 (37.5%)
- Motor Vehicle Accidents	18 (45%)
- Other	7 (17.5%)

Table 2: Clinical Presentation and Severity of Head Injury

Parameter	(n=40)
Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS)	9.4 ± 2.3
Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) Head	3.2 ± 0.8
Presence of Intracranial Hemorrhage	32 (80%)
- Subdural Hematoma	20 (50%)
- Epidural Hematoma	10 (25%)
- Intracerebral Hemorrhage	15 (37.5%)

Table 3: CT Findings and Distribution

CT Findings	(n=40)
Skull Fractures	18 (45%)
Brain Contusions	25 (62.5%)
Subarachnoid Hemorrhage	20 (50%)
Midline Shift	12 (30%)
Other Abnormal Findings	8 (20%)

Table 4: CT-Guided Interventions

Intervention	(n=40)
Surgical Evacuation	15 (37.5%)
Drainage Procedures	8 (20%)
Medical Management	17 (42.5%)
Outcome	Good: 22 (55%)
	Moderate: 12 (30%)
	Poor: 6 (15%)

Table 5: Correlation Analysis

Variables	Correlation Coefficient (r)	p-value
GCS and CT Findings	-0.43	0.012
AIS Head and Outcome	-0.68	<0.001
Presence of Hemorrhage and Intervention	0.56	0.005
Age and Outcome	0.25	0.127
Time to Intervention and Outcome	-0.37	0.032

DISCUSSION

The current study focusses into the role of Computed Tomography (CT) in the management of head injuries, providing valuable insights into the demographic characteristics, clinical presentations, CT findings, interventions, and outcomes of 40 patients over a one-year retrospective analysis.

Demographic Characteristics and Mechanism of Injury

The study population exhibited a mean age of 35.2 years, with a predominant male representation (70%). Falls (37.5%) and motor vehicle accidents (45%) emerged as the primary mechanisms of injury. These demographic patterns align with previous studies highlighting a higher prevalence of head injuries in the young adult male population, often associated with trauma-related incidents. The observed distribution of mechanisms underscores the need for targeted preventive strategies and public health interventions to mitigate the impact of head injuries in specific demographic groups [8, 9].

Clinical Presentation and Severity

The mean Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 9.4 indicated a moderate level of impairment, reflecting the severity of head injuries in the study cohort. The Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) Head demonstrated an average severity score of 3.2, indicative of a substantial injury burden. Intracranial hemorrhages, notably subdural hematomas (50%) and epidural hematomas (25%), were prevalent, suggesting a critical aspect of the injury spectrum. These findings emphasize the acute nature of the injuries in the study population, necessitating prompt and comprehensive diagnostic and therapeutic approaches [10].

CT Findings and Distribution

CT played a pivotal role in delineating the nature and extent of head injuries. Skull fractures were evident in 45% of cases, underscoring the impact and force associated with the traumatic events. Brain contusions (62.5%) and subarachnoid hemorrhages (50%) were frequently observed, indicating the

complexity of intracranial involvement. Midline shift, seen in 30% of cases, indicated a significant risk of elevated intracranial pressure, demanding urgent intervention. These findings align with the established literature on CT's sensitivity in detecting traumatic brain injuries, facilitating timely clinical decision-making [11].

CT-Guided Interventions and Outcomes

The study explored the interventions guided by CT findings and subsequent outcomes. Surgical evacuation was performed in 37.5% of cases, emphasizing the importance of prompt surgical intervention in certain traumatic brain injury scenarios. Drainage procedures were utilized in 20% of cases, highlighting the diversity of interventions guided by CT. Medical management, employed in 42.5% of cases, suggests that a significant proportion of patients may not require immediate surgical intervention, and non-operative approaches can be effective in certain situations. The overall outcomes reflected a predominantly favorable trajectory, with 55% of patients categorized as having a good outcome. These results reinforce the instrumental role of CT in tailoring therapeutic strategies and improving overall prognostic precision.

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analyses revealed noteworthy associations. A negative correlation between GCS and CT findings (-0.43, $p = 0.012$) emphasizes the utility of CT in objectively assessing the extent of brain injury, particularly in cases of altered consciousness. The strong negative correlation between AIS Head and outcome (-0.68, $p < 0.001$) underscores the prognostic value of injury severity scoring in predicting patient outcomes. The positive correlation between the presence of hemorrhage and the need for intervention (0.56, $p = 0.005$) emphasizes the crucial role of CT in guiding therapeutic decision-making in the context of intracranial hemorrhages. These correlations provide valuable insights for clinicians in predicting outcomes based on initial clinical and radiological assessments.

Limitations and Future Directions

Despite the study's contributions, several limitations warrant consideration. The retrospective design inherently introduces selection bias, and the reliance on existing medical records may result in incomplete data. The small sample size limits the generalizability of findings, and a multicenter approach with a larger cohort could enhance the study's external validity. Additionally, the study did not explore long-term outcomes, and future research should incorporate extended follow-up periods to assess the sustained impact of interventions on patient well-being.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this retrospective study focused on the multifaceted role of CT in the management of head injuries. The demographic patterns, clinical presentations, and correlation analyses underscore the significance of timely and accurate CT imaging in guiding therapeutic strategies.

REFERENCES

[1] Miller EC, Holmes JF, Derlet RW. Utilizing clinical factors to reduce head CT scan ordering for minor head trauma patients. J Emerg Med 1997;15(4):453-457.
[2] Kelly AB, Zimmerman RD, Snow RB, Gandy SE, Heier LA, Deck MD. Head trauma: comparison of MR and CT-experience in 100 patients. Am J Neuroradiol 1988;9(4):699-708.
[3] Glauser J. Head injury: which patients need imaging? Which test is best? Cleve Clin J Med 2004;71(4):353-357.
[4] Jones TR, Kaplan RT, Lane B, Atlas SW, Rubin GD. Single versus multi detector row CT of the brain: quality assessment. Radiol 2001;219(3):750-755.
[5] Yealy DM, Hogan DE. Imaging after head trauma. Who needs what? Emerg Med Clin North Am 1991;9(4):707-717.
[6] Ambrose J, Honsfield G, Computed axial tomography. British J Radiol 1973;46(542):148-149.
[7] Asaleye CM, Famurewa OC, Komolafe EO, Komolafe MA, Amusa YB. The pattern of Computerized Topographic findings in moderate and severe head injuries in ILE- IFE, Nigeria. West Afr J Radiol 2005;12:8-13.

[8] Zimmerman RA, Bilaniuk LT, Hackney DB, Goldberg HI. Head injury: Early results of comparing CT and high field M.R. Amj Neuro Radiol 1986;147(6):1215-1222.
[9] Satish Prasad BS, Shama M Shetty. Evaluation of craniocerebral Trauma Using Computed Tomography. J Dental and Med Sci 2014;13(9):57-62.
[10] Van Dongen K.J, Reinder, Geert J. The prognostic value of CT in comatosed head injured patients. The J Neuro Surg 1983;59(6):951-957.
[11] Karibe H, Hayashi T, Hirano T, Kameyama M, Nakagawa A, Tominaga T. Surgical management of traumatic acute subdural hematoma in adults: a review. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 2014;54(11):887-894.