

# Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

## The Mountain Pasturing Of Cattle Plus Feeding Them On A Plain – Improving The Efficiency.

Oleg K Gogaev<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Murat E Kebekov<sup>1</sup>, Valeriy R Kairov<sup>1,2</sup>, Albina R Demurova<sup>1</sup>, Alena V Dzeranova<sup>1</sup>, Rita D Bestaeva<sup>1</sup>, and Valentina A Kussova<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Gorsky State Agrarian University, 362040, RNO-Alania, Vladikavkaz, Kirov Street, 37;

<sup>2</sup>North Caucasus Research Institute of Mining and Foothill Agriculture - a branch of the Federal State Budgetary Institution of Science of the Federal Scientific Center "Vladikavkaz Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences", 363110, RNO-Alania, Prigorodny district, Mikhailovskoye village, Williams Street, 1.

ABSTRACT SECTION 1

The vast majority of entrepreneurs who uses mountain pastures, apply the practice of selling livestock after the completion of pasturing without subsequent fattening, as this issue in our region has not been studied and there is no experience in organizing such an event. The purpose of our work is to prove and develop the principal methods of increasing beef production on the basis of application of pasturing technology with subsequent intensive feeding of different breeds of bulls for two months and to calculate the relative economic efficiency of both cycles of summer keeping of the young cattle on the mountain pastures and in the conditions of the complex. While staying in the mountain pastures our experimental animals had the opportunity to pasture around the clock. During the whole time of grazing the bulls had an access to the water source. As well, they were given feeding concentrates in bad weather, when the animals grazed badly and did not eat till full. There were from 2 to 3 such days in a week. Salt in wooden feeders constantly laid in the cattle camp. The cattle received chalk together with the salt. There were no shelters for the animals in the camp. Upon returning from the mountain pastures, those bulls of both breeds which were not intended for intensive feeding have been implemented at the meat in the amount of 40 heads and their average live weight was: the Kalmyk - 416 kg; brown Swiss Breed - 401 kg. The bulls in the amount of 42 heads that might not be sold for slaughtering, were put on intensive feeding for two months in the conditions of the complex. There were typical conditions for them in the cattle corral by 10-11 heads. It is established that the use of mountainpasture keeping of young cattle is a very effective activity in cattle breeding. Carrying out the final intensive feeding in a complex or a farm for 2 months after not intensive feeding on mountain pastures is an economically-effective measure, especially for specialized young meat cattle.

**Keywords:** pasturing, feeding, Kalmyk breed, Swiss breed, meat productivity, slaughtering qualities.

\*Corresponding author



#### INTRODUTION

Meat consumption per one person remains one of the main indicators of the welfare of the population and the level of the state economy. The Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation recommends that the consumption of meat and meat products must be 70-75 kg for a person per a year, including beef - 25 kg According to FAO, in most developed countries meat consumption for a person per a year is more than 75 kg [1].

Cattle are an important source of meat resources. It is necessary to use all the reserves of the country and the industries to increase beef production and provide the population with high-quality food [2-6].

The development of domestic beef cattle breeding creates conditions for the development of rural areas, which at the same time will solve one of the strategic objectives of the state policy. Food security, the competitiveness of the Russian economic sector and the welfare of citizens will at the same time, be ensured.

The most capable among all the branches of agricultural production is meat cattle breeding, it helps to neutralize the difficulties with the creation of jobs and the formation of sustainable development of small rural settlements with a population of less than 200 inhabitants, which account for more than 70% of all rural settlements in the Russian Federation [7,8].

The expansion of the specialized beef cattle breeding area requires genetic diversity, causing a real need to create highly productive purebred and crossbreed herds, characterized by good adaptability to traditional and intensive technologies in specific conditions [9-14].

Favorable conditions for the development of this industry are available in the foothills and mountains of the North Caucasus. The area of agricultural land is 6745,4 hectares, including natural hayfields and pastures – 4610,5 hectares or -68,4% of the farmland area.

The vast majority of entrepreneurs using mountain pastures, apply the practice of selling livestock after the completion of pasturing without subsequent feeding, as this issue in our region has not been studied and there is no experience in organizing such an activity.

The purpose of our work is to prove and develop the principal methods of increasing beef production on the basis of application of pasturing technology with subsequent intensive feeding of different breeds of bulls for two months and to calculate the relative economic efficiency of both cycles of summer keeping of the young cattle on the mountain pastures and in the conditions of the complex.

### In accordance with this goal, we have set the following tasks:

- to study the dynamics of bulls' growth in different technological and age periods;
- to determine the efficiency of pasturing bulls of Kalmyk and Swiss breeds on natural mountain pastures with minimal consumption of concentrated feed;
- to determine the cost-effectiveness of the technology of pasturing cattle followed by intensive feeding in the complex for two months;
- to determine the cost of feed per unit of growth in different technological and age periods;
- to determine the total cost of feed for technological and age periods;
- to assess meat productivity of calves of the experimental bulls;
- to study the morphological composition of carcasses and its individual parts;
- to give an economic assessment of the effectiveness of pure pasturing and pasturing followed by intensive feeding for two months.
- give suggestions to the production on the basis of our own research.



#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

During their stay in the mountain pastures, the experimental animals had the opportunity to pasture around the clock. Despite this, the bulls themselves after 22 hours started to move in the direction of the camp and in 23 hours they already laid down and rested until dawn, then started pasturing again.

During the whole time of grazing the bulls had an access to the water source. As well, they were given feeding concentrates in bad weather, when the animals grazed badly and did not eat till full. There were from 2 to 3 such days in a week. Salt in wooden feeders constantly laid in the cattle camp. The cattle received chalk together with the salt. The feeders with salt were protected from rain. There were no other shelters for the animals in the camp.

Upon returning from the mountain pastures, those bulls of both breeds which were not intended for intensive feeding have been implemented at the meat in the amount of 40 heads and their average live weight was: for the Kalmyk breed -416 kg; brown Swiss breed -401 kg. The bulls in the amount of 42 heads that might not be sold for slaughtering, were put on intensive feeding for two months in the conditions of the complex.

There were typical conditions for them in the cattle corral by 10-11 heads, which were chosen according to their live weight, body size and their behavior. We have made the diet of winter feeding as the feeding was carried out after the pasturing period. Feed consumption for the period of intensive fattening is presented in table 1.

The entire period of feeding was divided into preliminary and main periods, respectively 10 and 50 days.

The feed consumption by bulls was monthly taken into account by groups for two shift days by weighing them before distribution and collecting their residues and following weighing.

According to the calculated data, on average, each experimental bull consumed 28,5 kg of grass from mountain pastures per day during the whole period of pasturing.

During the period of intensive feeding bulls of both breeds were fed the same amount of feed, which is reflected in table 1.

In the structure of fodder, coarse and juicy food occupied about 40%, concentrates - 12,5%, the share of pasture food was more than 35%. Observations of animals during the pasturing period showed that the animals most eagerly at grass at cool evening time, especially before returning to the camp. Almost half of the daily value of the grass was eaten by them in the evening.

Table 1: Feed Consumption for the Period of Intensive Feeding, kg / head

|  | Swi                      | SS          | Kalmyk             |             |  |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|
| Fodder                                 | Preliminary period       | Main period | Preliminary period | Main period |  |
|  | Number of Days in Period |             |                    |             |  |
|  | 10                       | 50          | 10                 | 50          |  |
| Cereal hay of motley grass             | 10                       | 75          | 10                 | 75          |  |
| Oats traw                              | 50                       | 250         | 50                 | 250         |  |
| Cornsilage                             | 50                       | 250         | 50                 | 250         |  |
| Molasses                               | 12                       | 60          | 12                 | 60          |  |
| Concentrated fodder corn +barley +oats | 25 125                   |             | 25                 | 125         |  |
| Salt                                   | 0,45                     | 2,25        | 0,45               | 2,25        |  |
| Chalk                                  | 0,4                      | 2,0         | 0,4                | 2,0         |  |



| BCS       |   |
|-----------|---|
| 2 Section | ١ |
|           |   |
|           |   |

| Total energy feed unit                                 | 62,12 | 320,6 | 62,12 | 320,6 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Dry substances, kg                                     | 87,03 | 456,4 | 87,03 | 456,4 |
| Digestible protein                                     | 5,6   | 29,42 | 5,6   | 29,42 |
| The Content of energy feed unit in the daily diet      | 6,21  | 6,41  | 6,21  | 6,41  |
| The content of digestible protein in the daily diet, g | 560   | 588,4 | 560   | 588,4 |
| The digestible protein in (g) to 1 energy feed unit    | 90,17 | 91,79 | 90,17 | 91,79 |

In the period of intensive feeding we didn't observe any significant difference between the bulls of various breeds. It was noted that the bulls of the Kalmyk breed ate all kinds of fodder with greater intensity, but they made more frequent and longer breaks in eating food than those of the brown Swiss breed. This is due to the fact that the animals of the Kalmyk breed have found greedy habits from nature, in semi-wild habitat and poor food allowance in the process of evolution of the breed.

The Bulls of the Swiss breed received food longer than others so they saturated and rested respectively longer, than those of the Kalmyk breed. At night, the bulls of the Kalmyk breed showed more concern than the Swiss bulls.

#### **RESULTS**

The level of feeding and high quality of feed, especially among bulls on intensive feeding, provided a good development of the entire experimental livestock. At the same time, the breed and its specialization had a positive impact on the dynamics of live weight and the size during all periods of growth, cultivation and feeding (table 2). After the removal from pasturing the average live weight of bulls which were not intended for subsequent intensive feeding was: for Swiss breed–401kg; Kalmyk breed-416kg; what about those which were intended for subsequent intensive fattening - bulls of Swiss breed it was - 400 kg; Kalmyk breed - 410 kg.

The same indicator after the removing from feeding was: for Swiss breed -474 kg; for Kalmyk breed -501 kg. The average daily gain of bulls, which were not intended for subsequent fattening removed from the feeding, were: Swiss breed -915 g; Kalmyk breed -980 g; and for those, intended for subsequent intensive fattening-Swiss breed-909 g; Kalmyk breed -941 g.

At the same time, average daily gains of bulls removed from pasturing grounds and subsequent intensive feeding as well, made: Swiss breed -990 g; Kalmyk breed -1092 g. As a result, the superiority in average daily gain of bulls removed from feeding to the same indicator after the removal from pasturing made: Swiss breed -8,9%; Kalmyk breed -16,0%.

After slaughtering the experimental bulls, the results showed that after intensive feeding conducted in accordance with the scheme of the experience, the exit carcass increased with the Swiss breed - from 51,8% to 53,9% and with the Kalmyk breed - from 52,14 to 54,5% while the reduction of bones content: Swiss from 21,1% to 19,2%, while bulls that didn't get intensive feeding after pasturing were hung over with the reduction of the carcass by 51,8%, which is almost by 3% less than with the final intensive feeding. This pattern was observed in the bulls of both breeds involved in the experiment.

Table 2: The Dynamics of Live Weight and Bullish Gain, n=20

| Indicators                              | During      | pasturing    | During pasturing and feeding |              |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| indicators                              | Swiss Breed | Kalmyk Breed | Swiss Breed                  | Kalmyk Breed |  |  |  |
| Live weight:                            |             |              |                              |              |  |  |  |
| When putting on experience, kg          | 260         | 265          | 260                          | 265          |  |  |  |
| After the removement from pasturing, kg | 401         | 416          | 400                          | 410          |  |  |  |
| After the removement from experience,   | 401         | 416          | 474                          | 501          |  |  |  |



| kg  |          |     |     |      |  |  |
|---|----------|-----|-----|------|--|--|
|   | Duration | ո։  |     |      |  |  |
| Pasturing, days                               | 154      | 154 | 154 | 154  |  |  |
| Feeding, days.                                | -        | -   | 62  | 62   |  |  |
| Average daily gain:                           |          |     |     |      |  |  |
| During the pasturing, g                       | 915      | 980 | 909 | 941  |  |  |
| During the pasturing and feeding, g           | -        | -   | 990 | 1092 |  |  |
| The pasturing and feeding in (%) to pasturing | -        | -   | 8,9 | 16,0 |  |  |

Along with that, it is necessary to note the differences, both on live weight, and on level of meat productivity among the breeds and among the groups which have passed intensive feeding and haven't passed it. So the average daily gains of bulls which have undergone final intensive feeding was higher: for Swiss breed  $-75\,\mathrm{g}$ , for Kalmyk breed  $-151\,\mathrm{g}$ . The conclusion is that the conduction of the final feeding in the complex after the pasturing season is economically viable because it promotes the increase in meat productivity of feeded livestock.

Table 3: The Results of Experimental Livestock Slaughtering After Final Feeding, n=5

| Indicators                       |              | Swi       | ss breed      | Kalmyk breed    |               |  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
|                                  |              | After     | After feeding | After pasturing | After feeding |  |
|                                  |              | pasturing |               |                 |               |  |
|                                  |              | M ± m     | M ± m         | M ± m           | M ± m         |  |
| Pre-slaughtering live weight, kg |              | 401±6,0   | 474±5,6       | 416±5,8         | 501±7,2       |  |
|                                  | carcass      | 207,9±3,1 | 255,4±4,2     | 216,9±3,5       | 273,0±5,1     |  |
| Weight, kg                       | Internal fat | 9,9±0,9   | 12,2±0,7      | 12,56±0,5       | 15,83±0,8     |  |
|                                  | all          | 217,8±1,8 | 267,6±2,0     | 229,46±1,3      | 288,83±1,6    |  |
| Claughtoring                     | carcass      | 51,85     | 53,9          | 52,14           | 54,5          |  |
| Slaughtering output, %           | internal fat | 2,47      | 2,57          | 3,02            | 3,16          |  |
|                                  | all          | 54,3      | 56,47         | 55,16           | 57,66         |  |
| Skin weight, kg                  |              | 30,8±0,3  | 35,5±0,2      | 31,6±0,7        | 38,5±0,6      |  |

This conclusion was confirmed by the results of the morphological composition of bulls' carcasses after the final feeding and after pasturing.

Table 4: The Morphological composition of bulls' carcasses after pasturing and final feeding, n=5

| Indicators                       |  | Swiss           | breed                       | Kalmyk breed    |                             |            |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|                                  |  | After pasturing | After pasturing and feeding | After pasturing | After pasturing and feeding |            |
|                                  |  |                 | M ± m                       | M ± m           | M ± m                       | M ± m      |
| The weig                         | ht of the carcass, kg                  | 3               | 217,8±2,4                   | 267,6±2,3       | 229,46±2,8                  | 288,83±2,7 |
|                                  | la a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a |                 | 45,95±0,32                  | 51,37±0,41      | 49,10±0,45                  | 53,72±0,36 |
| bones the bones                  |  | %               | 21,1                        | 19,2            | 21,4                        | 18,6       |
| ri SS .                          | КГ                                     | 166,68±2,5      | 210,06±1,9                  | 174,96±2,6      | 229,04±2,3                  |            |
|                                  | %                                      | 76,53           | 78,5                        | 76,25           | 79,3                        |            |
| cantendons care tendons meat     |  | КГ              | 5,16±0,01                   | 6,15±0,01       | 5,39±0,02                   | 6,06±0,02  |
|                                  |  | %               | 2,37                        | 2,3             | 2,35                        | 2,1        |
| The coefficient of meat fullness |  | 3,9±0,03        | 4,2±0,04                    | 4,1±0,02        | 4,4±0,03                    |            |



The change in the content of bones and meat in the carcasses of bulls slaughtered after pasturing and after the final feeding, has also occurred in favor of the bulls which have passed the final feeding and at the same time, the best results were in the bulls of the Kalmyk breed.

The indicators of meat fullness in the carcasses were in favor of livestock which have assed feeding. In the carcasses of the Kalmyk breed bulls the coefficients of meat fullness were higher than in the carcasses of the Swiss bulls.

Table 5: The Economic Efficiency of the Final Intensive Feeding of Bulls After Pasturing

|  | Swiss     | breed               | Kalmyk breed |                     |  |
|--|-----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Indicators   | Pasturing | Pasturing + feeding | Pasturing    | Pasturing + feeding |  |
| Live weight of 1 head at the beginning of the experiment, kg | 260       | 260                 | 265          | 265                 |  |
| Live weight of 1 head at the end of the experiment, kg       | 401       | 474                 | 416          | 501                 |  |
| Gross growth of 1 head, kg                                   | 141       | 214                 | 151          | 236                 |  |
| The cost of a 1 C of gain in (RUB)                           | 12115     | 11927               | 11072        | 11000               |  |
| Sales price of 1C of gain in (RUB)                           | 13750     | 13750               | 13820        | 13946               |  |
| Profit from 1C grain in (RUB)                                | 1635      | 1823                | 2748         | 2946                |  |
| Profit from 1 head in (RUB)                                  | 6556,3    | 10063,0             | 11431,0      | 14759,0             |  |
| The levelofprofitability, %                                  | 13,49     | 15,2                | 24,8         | 27,09               |  |
| Pasturing and feeding +/- to pasturing                       |           | +1,79               |              | +2,29               |  |

Table 5 shows that the profitability of Kalmyk bulls' meat production and sale is higher than that one of bulls of the Swiss breed. Moreover, in terms of profitability of production, the bulls that have passed the final intensive feeding, surpassed their peers from the compared group: Swiss breed – by 1,79%; Kalmyk breed – by 2,29%.

#### **CONCLUSION**

- 1. The use of the mountain pasturing of young cattle is a very effective measure in animal husbandry.
- 2. Carrying out the final intensive feeding in a complex or a farm for 2 months after not enough intensive feeding on mountain pastures is a cost-effective measure, especially for specialized meat bulls. This activity increases the meat productivity of livestock and their meat production. In such a way the morphological indicators of obtained carcasses are improved.

#### **REFERENCES**

[1] Selionova M. I. Modern State and Ways of Meat Cattle Breeding Development in the Stavropol region / M. I. Selionova, G. T. Bobryshova, V. G. Grebennikov // Bulletin of beef cattle, -2016. - №2(94). - P. 120-124.



- [2] Mironenko S. I. The Indicators of The Economic Efficiency of Cattle Breeding in Different Directions in the Conditions of the Southern Ural / S. I. Mironenko, V. I. Kosilov, D. A. Andrienko, E. A. Nikonova / Bulletin of beef Cattle Breeding. -2014. №3(86). P. 58-63.
- [3] Gudymenko, V. V. Rational Use of Genetic Resources of Cattle in Beef Production: monograph/V. V. Gudymenko. Belgorod: publishing house BeGSHA, after Gorina, 2014. -193 p.
- [4] Irgashev T. A. The Ways of Rational Use of Genetic Resources of Cattle And Zebu to Increase Beef Production in Tajikistan: Autoabstract, Diss. on Competition of a Scientific Degree. The Doctor Degree in Agriculture. Sciences/T. A. Irgashev. Dushanbe, 2015. -48 p.
- [5] Kairov V. R. Consumer Quality of Beef while Adding Adsorbents in the Diets of Feeded Bulls/ V. R. Kairov, M. N. Mamukaev, Z. A. Gutieva, Tsugkieva V. B., Dzodzieva E. S., D. G. Shtoshvili // the Qualitative Report. of GGAU. Vol. 53, part 4, Vladikavkaz, 2016. P. 113-116.
- [6] Kebekov M. A. Meat Productivity of Feeding Bulls Under Different \ Systems of Keeping. / M. E. Kebekov, V. R. Kairov, A. V. Dzeranova, A.R. Demurova, R. D. Bestaeva, M. A. Gatsiev// the Qualitative Report. Of GSAU Vol. 54, part 1, Vladikavkaz, 2017. P. 93-97.
- [7] The Project "The Concept of Sustainable Development of Beef Cattle in the Russian Federation for the Period Till 2030" / Amerkhanov H. A.,
- [8] Miroshnikov S. A., Kostyuk R. V., Dunin I. M., Legoshin, G. P. // Bulletin of Beef Cattle. 2017. № 1 (97). P.7 -12.
- [9] Amerkhanov, Kh. A. Beef Cattle: Tutorial / H. A. Amerkhanov, F. G. Kayumov. M., 2016. 315 p.
- [10] O. K. Productive and Exterior Features of the Cows of Brown Swiss Breed of Different Production Types / O. K. Gogaev, T. A. Kadieva // Dairy and Beef Cattle. 2017. No.1. P. 16-18.
- [11] The Comparative Characteristics of Energy Exchange of Heifers of Swiss and Kalmyk breeds /Gogaev O. K., M. E. Kebekov, Bitiyeva I. A., Bestaeva R. D., Demurova A. R., Hatsaev V. V., Kusova V.A.//Scientific Life. 2018. No. 4. P. 127-134.
- [12] The Technology of Feeding Bulls With the Use of Iron Nanopowder /M. E. Kebekov, Gogaev O. K. Dzeranova, A. V., Bestaeva R. D., Kokoeva A. T // The News of the Gorsky State Agrarian University. 2018. Vol. 55. No. 2. P. 77-82.
- [13] Some Features of the Application Management And Husbandry Methods in the Breeding of Meat Cattle/ Gogaev O.K., Ostaev G. Ya., Hosiev B. N.// Research Review: Theory and Practice. 2018. No. 1. P. 79-89.
- [14] The Pasturing and Feeding of Different Breeds of Bulls /Kebekov, M. E., Gogaev, O. K., Demurova, A. R., Dzeranova, A. V., Bestaeva, R. D. / News of the Gorskiy State Agrarian University. 2018. Vol. 55. No.1. P. 57-64.
- [15] The Optimization of Evaluations of Products of Beef Cattle Breeding /Gogaev O.K., OstaevG.Ya., Hosiev B. N.// Animal Husbandry Of The South Of Russia. 2018. № 1 (27). P.31-33.