

## Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

# Kinetics and mechanism of thermal decomposition of binary mixture of barium oxalate and copper oxalate in the (1:2) mole ratio

## Zaware SK And Jadhav SS\*

Department of Chemistry, New Arts, Commerce and Science College, Ahmednagar-414001 (MS) INDIA.

#### ABSTRACT

The non- isothermal decomposition study of individual BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O by TGA technique shows three steps with BaCO<sub>3</sub> and BaO as final product when heated to 1000 <sup>0</sup>C with three dimensional diffusion (D<sub>4</sub>) and two dimensional phase boundary reaction (R<sub>2</sub> $\alpha$ ) mechanism. The energy of activation (Ea) of non- isothermal method is 36.80 KJ/mole and isothermal method is 34.09 KJ/mole. The CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> shows two step decomposition with CuO as end product when heated to 320 °C by random nucleation (Avrami equation) mechanism. The Ea for non-isothermal and isothermal method is 140.46 KJ/mole and 172.40 KJ/mole respectively. The non-isothermal study of the binary mechanical mixture of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in mole ratio (1:2) by TGA and EGA when heated up to 1000 <sup>0</sup>C shows mixture of BaO and CuO. The  $\alpha$  Vs time plots of isothermal study of mixture shows two dimensional diffusion (D2) and three dimensional diffusion (Ginling Braunshtein equation) (D<sub>4</sub>) mechanism. The enplicability of Ginling Braunshtein equation to the kinetic data is up to 0.60 <  $\alpha$  < 0.99. The Ea of this binary mixture in mole ratio (1:2) by non- isothermal method 36.56 KJ/mole and isothermal method is 31.41 KJ/mole. The end products were characterized using X-ray diffraction technique. The kinetic parameters like energy of activation (Ea), pre-exponential factor (A) and Correlation factor (r) were obtained from isothermal TGA and EGA. **Keywords**: CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, TGA, EGA, Kinetics, Oxalates.



\*Corresponding author

January-March 2013

RJPBCS

Volume 4 Issue 1

Page No. 760



## INTRODUCTION

Thermal decomposition study of metal oxalates is useful for preparation of mixed metal oxides possessing pores, lattice imperfections and therefore they acts as reactive solids [1]. The mixed metal oxides may result in the modification of their thermal behavior, geometry and electronic properties which lead to changes in their catalytic functions [2]. It is found that many workers studied thermal decomposition of mixed metal oxalates preparing them by different techniques [3]. The objective of this work is to investigate the mechanism by which metal oxalate shows thermal decomposition. So far, nobody found to have reported on the thermal behavior of mechanical mixtures of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  in (1:2) mole ratio. In last few years some workers have studied the binary mixtures of oxalates by thermal decomposition to find out the kinetics and mechanism [4-5], but we have chosen quite new method to study the binary mixture by mechanically mixing two oxalates by definite proportion as 1:1, 1:2, 2:1, 1:3 etc [6]. Generally, the kinetics of solid state thermal decomposition can be followed either by isothermal and non-isothermal methods [7]. The decomposition in oxalates may be with the heterolytic dissociation of C-C bond forming  $CO_2$  and  $CO_2^{2^2}$ , if it involves the cleavage of the C-C bond then the products are CO and CO<sub>2</sub>. In many cases the C-C bond cleavage is the rate determining step. If cleavage is heterolytic then it produces  $CO_2$  and  $CO_2^{2-}$  and if homolytic then it produces two CO<sup>2-</sup> anions [8-11]. Thermo-gram obtained from TGA; provide the information about the sample composition, thermal stability as well as the kinetic data relating the chemical changes occur on heating [12]. The kinetic parameters of non-isothermal method of TGA and EGA are close to those obtained for isothermal decomposition in the air atmosphere. EGA is known as one of thermal analysis method for measuring the amount of generated gases from a sample as a function of temperature [13]. The kinetic analysis data was performed by using computer for calculation of energy of activation and mechanism. The end product of thermal decomposition of oxalate mixture was characterized by using X-ray diffraction techniques [14].

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

## Material

Pure Barium (II) oxalate and Copper (II) oxalate were used of BDH A. R. quality.

## **Apparatus:-**

The EGA technique in which furnace is made up of indigenous material with quartz tube closed from one side with chromel-alumel as thermocouple. Pyrometer (Tempo Industrial corp., BPL-INDIA) with range 0  $^{\circ}$ C to 1200  $^{\circ}$ C (± 1  $^{\circ}$ C) and the temperature regulator (Argo transformers Co.Ltd., India) of 15 amp capacity is used. In the non-isothermal studies the temperature was raised up to 1000  $^{\circ}$ C at heating rates of ±4  $^{\circ}$ C/min. TGA is set up by using thermobalance K-14 super (K.Roy and Co., India) of 100 g capacity with an accuracy of ± 0.1 mg. The furnace used for TGA is prepared by using indigenous material. The DTA technique Detector DTG-60H where atmosphere is air with flow rate 50 ml/min.



## ISSN: 0975-8585

X-ray powder diffraction analysis of the solid decomposition products was carried out using a bruker axs D8 advance X-ray diffractometer. For the identification purpose, the relative intensities ( $I/I_0$ ) and the d-spacing (Å) were compared with standard diffraction patterns of the ASTM powder diffraction files [15].

## Data analysis:

The activation parameters were then calculated by using the Coats-Redfern equation written in the form:

$$\log_{10}\{1-(1-\alpha)^{1-n}/T^{2}(1-n)\} = \log_{10}AR/aE[1-2RT/E] - E/2.303RT$$
(1)

Where  $\alpha$  = the fraction of the sample decomposed at time t

$$\begin{split} n &= \text{order of reaction} \\ T &= \text{temperature (}^0\text{K}\text{)} \\ A &= \text{pre-exponential factor} \\ R &= \text{gas constant} \\ E &= \text{activation energy} \\ a &= \text{conversion factor to transfer from a time scale to a temperature scale,} \\ i.e. a &= dT / dt \end{split}$$

In Coats-Redfern equation  $log_{10}AR/aE [1-2RT / E]$  remains constant over temperature range of the decomposition, then plot

 $\log_{10} \{1- (1-\alpha)^{1-n} / T^2 (1-n)\}$  against 1 / T It results straight line and slope give the value of -E / 2.303 R [16].

For isothermal conditions	s, the rate expression can be written as	
G (α) = kt	(integral form)	(2)

 $\alpha$  = the fraction of the sample decomposed at time't'.

For a given isothermal run at  $T_i$ , the constant k ( $T_i$ ) can be calculated from the TGA and EGA Curve using the integral method. TGA and EGA experiments for isothermal analysis are performed at five isothermal temperatures. There is a certain k ( $T_i$ ) and certain f ( $\alpha$ ) or G ( $\alpha$ ) for each  $T_i$ . If f ( $\alpha$ ) or G ( $\alpha$ ) are all the same for each  $T_i$ , then

$$\ln [G (\alpha) / 1.921503T] = \ln (AE / BR) + 3.7720501 - 1.921503 \ln E - E / RT$$
(3)

Where E = slope x R And A = exp (intercept – 3.772051 + 1.9215031 ln E) x BR / E (4)

January-March 2013 RJPBCS Volume 4 Issue 1 Page No. 762



Where E = activation energy, B = heating rate, A = frequency factor, and  $\alpha$  = fraction of the sample decomposed at time't' [17].

A computer program has been written for the calculation of kinetic data by using Coats-Redfern equation, in which data can be cycled for any value of n (order of reaction) until the best fit is obtained (by least mean squares) . The kinetic data are also analyzed by two dimensional diffusion equation and by three dimensional phase boundary reaction (Table 1). Plots for typical experiments are shown for non-isothermal TGA, EGA and DTA of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O, CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and binary mechanical mixture of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in mole ratio (1:2) in **Figure1**, **Figure2** and **Figure3**.

Method of analysis	Ea (activation energy) in KJ/mole.	A,(frequency factor)	r, (correlation coefficient)	n, Order of reaction
Non-isothermal TGA.	36.80	1.08x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.9999	1
Isothermal TGA by three	34.09	1.54x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.9996	1
dimensional diffusion (Jander				
equation) of Barium (II) oxalate				
Isothermal TGA by two dimentional	48.85	3.87x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.9999	1
phase boundary reaction of Barium				
(II) oxalate				
Non-isothermal EGA of Barium (II)	39.21	2.98x10 <sup>7</sup>	0.9995	1.05
oxalate				
Isothermal EGA by three	34.24	1.49x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.9998	1.05
dimensional diffusion (Ginling				
Braunshtein equation) of Barium (II)				
oxalate				
Isothermal EGA by random	20.00	2.36x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.999	1.05
nucleation (Avrami equation) of				
Barium (II) oxalate				
Non-isothermal TGA of Copper (II)	140.46	1.95x10 <sup>6</sup>	0.9948	1.35
oxalate				
Isothermal TGA by random	172.40	1.95x10 <sup>6</sup>	0.9999	1.35
nucleation (Avrami equation) of				
Copper (II) oxalate				
Non-isothermal EGA of Copper (II)	158.82	1.56x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.9994	0.35
oxalate				
Isothermal EGA by random	144.31	3.51x10 <sup>8</sup>	0.9999	0.35
nucleation (Erofeev equation) of				
Copper (II) oxalate				

Table1: Activation parameters of the non-isothermal and isothermal decomposition in air of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  by TGA and EGA method.

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**



Fig1: DTA-TGA-EGA curves of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O in air at heating rate of 4<sup>0</sup>C min<sup>-1</sup>.

The TGA, EGA and DTA of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O are shown in **Figure 1**. The BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O is decomposed within three steps (Fig2). The first step is observed at 160  $^{\circ}$ C to 180  $^{\circ}$ C and is accompanied by 4.54% mass loss [18]. This is attributed to the 0.6 water molecule (calculated mass loss 4.57%). The second step shows decomposition to BaCO<sub>3</sub> at 450  $^{\circ}$ C to 560  $^{\circ}$ C accompanied by 12.59% mass loss while calculated mass loss is 12.42% [19]. The third step shows decomposition of BaCO<sub>3</sub> to 80% BaO in the temperature range 600  $^{\circ}$ C to 1000  $^{\circ}$ C [20] accompanied by 17.83% mass loss while calculated mass loss is 17.83%. The pure individual barium (II) oxalate shows complete decomposition at 1385 °C [21] this is due to CO formed in the temperature range 480 °C – 500 °C is again recombine to form BaCO<sub>3</sub>, it may be due to small crystallite size of BaCO<sub>3</sub> or due to formation of defects in the crystallite. EGA study shows the volume for decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by calculation at N.T.P condition is 24.56 ml and observed volume at N.T.P is 25.00 ml, which results in decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> to BaCO<sub>3</sub> up to 1000  $^{\circ}$ C. The DTA shows 'Endo' peak at 180  $^{\circ}$ C for water loss and sharp 'Exo' peak at 500  $^{\circ}$ C indicating starting of decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> to BaCO<sub>3</sub>.



January-March 2013 RJPBCS Volume 4 Issue 1 Pag

## DTA-TG, EGA



## Figure 2: DTA-TGA-EGA curves of CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in air at heating rate of 4 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

The TGA, EGA and DTA of  $CuC_2O_4$  are shown in **Figure 2**. The TGA shows single step decomposition in the temperature range 260 °C to 320 °C showing weight loss 47.50% against the calculated mass loss is 47.51% [22-23]. This mass loss corresponds to the complete conversion of  $CuC_2O_4$  to CuO. EGA shows the theoretical volume, for decomposition at N.T.P condition is 45.02 ml against the observed volume at N.T.P is 45.25 ml which results in decomposition of  $CuC_2O_4$  to CuO at 320 °C. The DTA shows sharp 'Exo' peak at 300 °C for decomposition of  $CuC_2O_4$ . The kinetic parameters evaluated by TGA using non-mechanistic equations are given in **Table 2**.

Table2: Activation parameters of the isothermal and dynamic decomposition in air of BaC <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> .0.6H <sub>2</sub> O and CuC <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
(1:2) mole ratio mixture by TGA and EGA method.

Method of analysis	Ea (activation energy) in KJ/mole.	A,(frequency factor)	r, (correlation coefficient)	n, Order of reaction
Non-isothermal TGA	36.56	4.071 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.9995	0.20
Isothermal TGA by two dimensional diffusion	28.29	2.087 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	0.9993	0.20
Isothermal TGA by three dimensional diffusion (Ginling Braunshtein equation)	31.41	$1.305 \times 10^3$	0.9995	0.20
Non-isothermal EGA	23.72	1.624 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.9983	0.20
Isothermal EGA by two dimensional diffusion	24.25	1.927 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	0.9995	0.20
Isothermal EGA by three dimensional diffusion (Ginling Braunshtein equation)	28.26	1.451 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	0.9999	0.20



Fig 3: DTA-TGA-EGA curves of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in mole ratio (1:2) in air at heating rate of 4°C min<sup>-1</sup>.



## ISSN: 0975-8585

The TGA, EGA and DTA of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  in mole ratio (1:2) are shown in Figure 3. In the mixture of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  in mole ratio (1:2) shows four distinct steps for mass loss. The complete dehydration is observed in the temperature range 180 °C -200 °C and is accompanied by 2.09% mass loss [24]. This is attributed to the 0.6 water molecules (calculated mass loss 2.04%). Anhydrous mixture is thermally unstable and shows distinct three consecutive mass loss steps. In the second step the decomposition of  $CuC_2O_4$  to CuO in the temperature range 240 °C to 300 °C is observed with mass loss 27.70% [25] and calculated mass loss is 27.81%. The third step is the decomposition of  $BaC_2O_4$  to  $BaCO_3$  in temperature range 480 <sup>0</sup>C to 500 °C [26] where observed mass loss is 7.55% and calculated mass loss is 7.49%. The X-ray study of end product of binary mixture of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in mole ratio (1:2) taken at 500 °C support the formation of BaCO<sub>3</sub> and BaCu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. This occurs at lower temperature than the decomposition of pure BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The mixture shows 88% conversion of BaCO<sub>3</sub> to BaO in temperature range 900 °C to 920 °C and is supported by X-ray taken at 700 °C and 900 °C. While pure  $BaC_2O_4$  shows the slow conversion of  $BaCO_3$  to BaO is reported in the temperature range 600 °C to 1000 °C [27], where 80% BaO formation takes place. Last step of mixture shows the 88% decomposition of BaCO<sub>3</sub> to BaO in temperature range 900 °C to 920 °C where observed mass loss is 11.33% and calculated mass loss is 11.31% [28]. In pure  $BaC_2O_4$  the conversion of  $BaCO_3$  to BaO takes place at temperature greater than 1000 °C [29]. The decomposition of BaCO<sub>3</sub> to BaO occurs at lower temperature where the CuO acts as catalyst. EGA study shows the volume for decomposition at N.T.P condition is 71.91 ml and observed volume at N.T.P is 72.00 ml, which results in decomposition of  $BaC_2O_4$  and  $CuC_2O_4$ (1:2) mole ratio mixture to CuO and BaCO<sub>3</sub> at 1000 °C [30].

## X-ray diffraction:-



Fig 4: X-ray powder diffractograms of solid  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  (1:2) mole ratio mixture obtained at (a) 500  $^{\circ}C$ , (b) 700  $^{\circ}C$  and (c) 900  $^{\circ}C$ .

January-March2013RJPBCSVolume 4 Issue 1Page No. 766



The XRD diffraction pattern of the initial mixture matched the standard data compiled in the JCPDS data. The sample of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  in mole ratio (1:2) is heated in an open air at 500  $^{0}$ C, 700  $^{0}$ C and 900  $^{0}$ C with a linear heating rate of 4  $^{0}$ C/ min and their XRD pattern is recorded in 20 range of 20-80 $^{0}$ . The XRD pattern of the sample at 500  $^{0}$ C showed the formation of orthorombic  $BaCu_2O_3$  (JCPDS no.391497) and orthorombic  $BaCO_3$  (JCPDS no.410373) [31]. The XRD pattern of the sample at 700  $^{0}$ C and 900  $^{0}$ C showed the formation of orthorombic  $BaCu_2O_3$  (JCPDS no.391497). The  $BaCO_3$  then further decomposed to BaO at 1000  $^{0}$ C.

The decomposition reaction of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  in mole ratio (1:2) for TGA is shown below.

Step: I  
BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O: 2CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 
$$\frac{180 \ ^{\circ}C \text{ to } 200 \ ^{\circ}C}{(\text{Observed Wt. loss} = 2.09\%), (Calculated Wt. loss = 2.04\%)}$$
Step II:  
BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 2CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 
$$\frac{240 \ ^{\circ}C \text{ to } 300 \ ^{\circ}C}{(\text{Observed Wt. loss} = 27.0\%), (Calculated Wt. loss = 27.81\%)}$$
Step III:  
BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 2CuO 
$$\frac{480 \ ^{\circ}C \text{ to } 500 \ ^{\circ}C}{(\text{Observed Wt. loss} = 27.70\%), (Calculated Wt. loss = 27.81\%)}$$
Step III:  
BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 2CuO 
$$\frac{480 \ ^{\circ}C \text{ to } 500 \ ^{\circ}C}{(\text{Observed Wt. loss} = 7.55\%), (Calculated Wt. loss = 7.49\%)}$$
Step IV:  

$$\frac{900 \ ^{\circ}C \text{ to } 920 \ ^{\circ}C}{(\text{Observed Wt. loss} = 7.55\%), (Calculated Wt. loss = 7.49\%)}$$

Seven different temperatures 850 °C, 750 °C, 650 °C, 550 °C, 450 °C, 350 °C and 250 °C were selected for conducting isothermal kinetic studies of TGA and 750 °C, 650 °C, 550 °C, 450 °C, 350 °C for EGA techniques. TGA and EGA (Figure.2 and 3) shows the variation of degree of decomposition ( $\alpha$ ) of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio mixture to CuO, BaCO<sub>3</sub> and BaO with time at different isothermal conditions [32]. The data obtained from isothermal method using TGA and EGA techniques are plotted as degree of decomposition ( $\alpha$ ) as a function of time (t/t<sub>0.5</sub>) (Figure.4 and 5) [33]. These sigmoid shaped curves are characteristics of a mechanism by which the decomposition occurs at the interface between the product and undecomposed reactant.

(Observed Wt. loss = 11.33%), (Calculated Wt. loss = 11.31%)



Fig 5: Isothermal decomposition curves (TGA) for BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio mixture at a) 850 <sup>0</sup>C, b) 750 <sup>0</sup>C, c) 650 <sup>0</sup>C, d) 550 <sup>0</sup>C, e) 450 <sup>0</sup>C, f) 350 <sup>0</sup>C and g) 250 <sup>0</sup>C.



Fig 6: Isothermal decomposition curves (EGA) for BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio mixture at a) 750  $^{\circ}$ C, (b) 650  $^{\circ}$ C c) 550  $^{\circ}$ C, d) 450  $^{\circ}$ C, and e) 350  $^{\circ}$ C.







Fig 7:  $\alpha$  Vs. t / t <sub>0.5</sub> plots of TGA for isothermal decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.

Fig 8: α Vs. t / t <sub>0.5</sub> plots of EGA for isothermal decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.

The kinetic parameters evaluated by TGA using non-mechanistic equations are given in table2. The Ea of decomposition process using non-isothermal TGA and EGA method [34] is found to be 36.56 KJ/mole and 23.72 KJ/mole by plotting ln k Vs.  $T^{-1}.10^3/K^{-1}$  respectively (Fig.9 and 10) [35- 37]. The order (n) of decomposition reaction of binary mixture using TGA and EGA is 0.20 [38].



Fig 9: Arrhenius plot: of ln k Vs.  $T^{-1}$ .10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> of dynamic TGA of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.



Fig 10: Arrhenius plot: of ln k Vs. T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> of dynamic EGA of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.

Isothermal TGA method using two dimensional diffusion is 29.89 KJ/mole and three dimensional diffusion (Ginling Braunshtein equation) is 31.41 KJ/mole by plotting log (1- $\alpha$ ) ln (1- $\alpha$ ) +  $\alpha$  Vs T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> and log [1- 2 $\alpha$  / 3] – (1- $\alpha$ )] <sup>2/3</sup> Vs. T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> (fig.11 and 12) respectively. The EGA method using two dimentional diffusion is 24.54 KJ/mole and three dimensional diffusion (Ginling Braunshtein equation) is 28.26 KJ/mole by plotting log (1- $\alpha$ ) ln (1- $\alpha$ ) +  $\alpha$  Vs T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> and log [1- 2 $\alpha$  / 3] – (1- $\alpha$ )] <sup>2/3</sup> Vs. T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> (fig.13 and 14) respectively. In EGA technique the decomposition temperature is high due to closed system. The correlation coefficient (r) for TGA and EGA are in the range 0.9982 - 0.9999, indicating nearly perfect fits [39].



Fig 11: Arrhenius plot for TGA of log (1- $\alpha$ ) ln (1- $\alpha$ ) +  $\alpha$  Vs T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> for decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.

January-March 2013 RJPBCS Volume 4 Issue 1 Page No. 770



Fig 12: Arrhenius plot for TGA of log  $[1 - 2\alpha / 3] - (1 - \alpha)]^{2/3}$  Vs T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> for decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.



Fig 13: Arrhenius plot for EGA of log (1- $\alpha$ ) ln (1- $\alpha$ ) +  $\alpha$  Vs T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> for decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.



ISSN: 0975-8585



## Fig 14: Arrhenius plot for EGA of log $[1-2\alpha/3] - (1-\alpha)$ Vs T<sup>-1</sup>.10<sup>3</sup>/K<sup>-1</sup> for decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.

The decomposition of binary mixture using TGA and EGA can be found out by plotting  $(1-\alpha) \ln (1-\alpha) + \alpha \text{ Vs. time (min)}$  and  $[1-2\alpha/3] - (1-\alpha) ]^{2/3} \text{ Vs. time (min)}$  (Fig.15, 16, 17 and 18)) and obey two dimensional diffusion followed by three dimensional diffusion (Ginling Braunshtein equation) [40-42].



Fig 15: (TGA) plot of (1- $\alpha$ ) ln (1- $\alpha$ ) +  $\alpha$  Vs time (min) of decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(1:2) mole ratio.



Fig 16: (TGA) plot of  $[1 - 2\alpha / 3] - (1 - \alpha)$   $]^{2/3}$  Vs time (of decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.

January-March 2013 RJPBCS Volume 4 Issue 1 Page No. 772



Fig 17: (EGA) plot of  $(1-\alpha) \ln (1-\alpha) + \alpha$  Vs time (min) of decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.



Fig 18: (EGA) plot of  $[1 - 2\alpha / 3] - (1 - \alpha)]^{2/3}$  Vs time (of decomposition of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio.

## CONCLUSION

TGA experiment of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  (1:2) mole ratio mixture in air shows complete decomposition to BaO and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 1000 <sup>0</sup>C through four well-defined steps while EGA technique shows decomposition to BaCO<sub>3</sub> and 2CuO at 1000 <sup>0</sup>C. The non-isothermal TGA of BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O shows 80 % formation of BaO while in the BaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.0.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1:2) mole ratio mixture shows 88 % formation BaO this is due to the catalytic effect of CuO. Intermediates, decomposition products as well as the final products were characterized by Xray diffraction techniques. The increase in the intensity of the diffraction lines by raising the calcination temperature from 500 <sup>0</sup>C to 900 <sup>0</sup>C is attributed to the grain growth of the



decomposition products. XRD experiments show the decomposition of  $BaC_2O_4.0.6H_2O$  and  $CuC_2O_4$  (1:2) mole ratio mixture to BaO and 2CuO.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Boldyrev VV, Bulens M, Delmon B. The Control of the reactivity of Solids, Elseveir, Amsterdam 1979.
- [2] Shahheen WM, Selim MM, Nasr-Allah M. Materials Letters 2002; 52:130–139.
- [3] Malecka B, Drozdz-ciesla E, Melecki A. Thermochemica Acta 2004; 423: 13–18.
- [4] Jorda JL, Jondo TK. J Alloys Comp 2001; 327: 167-177.
- [5] Jacobo SE, Domingo- Pascual C, Rodriguez-Clemente R, Blesa MA. J Mat Sci 1997; 32: 1025-1028.
- [6] Dollimore D. Thermochemica Acta 2004; 117: 331-363.
- [7] Palanisamy T, Gpalakrishnan J, Viswanathan B, Srinivasanand V, Sastri MVC. Thermochimica acta 1971; 2(3): 265-273.
- [8] Górski A, Kra´snicka AD. J Therm Anal Calorim 1987; 32:1229–1241.
- [9] Reddy MVVS, Lingam KV, Rao TKG. Mol Phys 1981; 42: 1267–1269.
- [10] Leiga AG. J Phys Chem 1966; 70:3260–3267.
- [11] Jose John M, Muralidharan K, Kannan MP, Ganga Devi T. Thermochim Acta 2012; 534: 71-76.
- [12] Gabal MA. Thermochemica Acta 2004; 412: 55-62.
- [13] ARII T, Motomura K, and Otake S. J Mass Spectrom Soc Jpn 2011; 59(1): 5-11.
- [14] Birzescu M, Niculescu M, Dumitru R, Budru-geac P, and Segal E. J Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 2008; 94(1): 297-303.
- [15] Deane K, Smith and Jenkins R. J Res National Institute of Standards and Technology 1996; 101(3): 259-271.
- [16] Judd MD and Pope MI. J Thermal Analysis 1972; 4: 31–38.
- [17] Gao X, and Dollimore D. Thermochemica Acta 1993; 215: 47–63.
- [18] Bhatti AS, Dollimore D. Themochimica Acta 1984; 79(1): 217-230.
- [19] Bhatti AS, Dollimore D, Fletcher A. Themochimica Acta 1984; 79: 23-241.
- [20] Diaz-Guemes MI, Bhatti AS, Dollimore D. Themochimica Acta 1987; 111:275-282.
- [21] Jorda JL, Jondo TK. J Alloys Comp 2001; 327: 167-177.
- [22] Lamprecht E, Watkins GM, Brown ME. Thermochemica Acta 2006; 446: 91-100.
- [23] Zhang X, Zhang D, Ni X, Zheng H. Solid State Electronics 2008; 52: 245-248.
- [24] Gabal MA. Thermochemica Acta 2003; 402: 199-208.
- [25] Nayak H, Bhatta D. Thermochemica Acta 2001; 373: 37-43.
- [26] Deb N. J Themal Analysis and calorimetry 2005; 81: 61-65.
- [27] Bera J and Sarkar D. J Electrodynamics 2003; 11: 131–137.
- [28] Samarkandy AA and  $E_L$ -B<sub>ELLIHI</sub> AA. JKAU: Sci 1999; 11: 83-97.
- [29] Lotnyk A, Senz S, and Hesse D. Solid State Ionics 2006; 177: 429–436.
- [30] ARII T. J Mass Spectrom Soc Jpn 2005; 53(4): 211-216.
- [31] Choisnet J and Raveau B. J Mater Chem 2002; 12: 1005-1008.
- [32] Drouet C and Alphonse P. J Mater Chem 2002; 12: 3058-3063.
- [33] Boldyrev VV. Themochimica Acta 2002; 388: 63-90.

January-March2013RJPBCSVolume 4 Issue 1Page No. 774



- [34] Broadbent D and Dollimore J. J Chem Soc Faraday trans 1991; 87: 161-166.
- [35] L'vov BV. Thermochim Acta 2002; 389: 199–211.
- [36] Muraleedharan K and Pasha L. J Serb Chem Soc 2011; 76(7): 1015-1026.
- [37] Yu-Dong Z, Bin-Jie L, Xiang-Min X, De-Liang L. Acta Phsy Chim Sin 2007; 23: 1095-1098.
- [38] Galwey AK and Brown ME. Thermochim Acta 2002; 386: 91-98.
- [39] Nair CGR, Mathew S, and Ninan KN. Thermochemica Acta 1989; 150:63-78.
- [40] Malecka B, Drozdz-Ciesla E, and Malecki A. Thermochemica Acta 2004; 423:13-18.
- [41] Nayak H, Bhatta D. Thermochemica Acta 2000; 362:99-105.
- [42] Prasad R. Thermochemica Acta 2003; 406: 99-104.